Medical Humanities  
HUM 240  
Fall 2017—MW 4-5:20 p.m.  
Price Science Library Classroom B040

Professor Mary Wood, English (mewood@uoregon.edu)  
TA Angela Rovak (arovak@uoregon.edu)

Professor Wood’s Office Hours: Wednesdays 12:30-2:30 p.m., Thurs. 2-3 p.m.  
445 PLC  
Email or Canvas messenger best way to contact

Course Description  
What are the meanings of and responses to human suffering in an increasingly globalized world? How are those meanings and responses inflected by race, class, gender, ethnicity, and national identity? How does Western biomedicine define disease and wellness (both now and historically) and how are those definitions imposed on and adapted within the Global South? How have new genetic and reproductive technologies affected medical ethics? What happens when different cultural understandings of disease conflict with one another? How can the arts (literature, visual art, film, music) and philosophy have an impact on medicine and vice versa? How do cultural narratives inform both popular and expert understandings of medicine? This class explores crucial questions about health, well-being, medicine, and social inequality in the twenty-first century, with a particular focus on how narrative works in medical contexts.

Objectives for General Education and Learning Outcomes:  
The main goal of this course is two-fold: to introduce you to major issues in global health, medicine, and bioethics, particularly as they relate to human rights, inequalities, and social justice; and to demonstrate the benefits of interdisciplinary learning, in which critical thinking, attention to language, open discussion and debate, self-questioning, and the active welcoming of diverse perspectives become tools for lifelong learning and problem-solving. By the end of the course, students will have learned to analyze the politics and narrative forms of health-related texts, to recognize and understand the histories of medical discourses, to understand and analyze bioethical dilemmas in contemporary biomedicine, and to interpret cultural representations of health, illness, and well-being.

This class counts as an Arts & Letters group-satisfying class.
Grading:
Attendance and participation: 15%

Comments on others’ Response Papers: 15%

Response Papers: 50%

Editorial Assignment/Role Play performance: 20%

Grading Rubric: Writing assignments (and Role Play for students who choose that option) must show original thinking, incorporation of readings (including quotation from text and reference to specific scenes and arguments), and attention to details such as grammar and syntax. A more detailed rubric for the response papers appears below.

Course Assignments and Schedule
Class participation: This class will require active and sustained class participation. This class is based primarily on discussion. Thus, you must do the reading every week. The more thoroughly students have read, the better discussion will be. The college classroom demands open, honest exchange of ideas; we will be covering material that may challenge your beliefs and values. While you may not agree with everything said, you owe it to each other to listen carefully and respectfully to other people’s views. We welcome respectful disagreement!

Response Papers: You will write a response to the readings every week, starting with the second week of class and excluding Week 8, for a total of eight response papers. These papers are intended to contribute to the shared inquiry that is the heart of the class. This shared process of inquiry and engagement with ideas and texts will in turn help you develop your skills as writers. These skills will find more formal expression in the graded essay (or alternative role play exercise) due week 8 (described below). Response entries are to be approximately 500 words in length, should respond to the prompt, and must be uploaded by Sunday night by 9:00 p.m. in the Discussions section of Canvas. Important: You will be writing about the readings for the Monday and Wednesday immediately AFTER the Sunday turn-in date.

You must do 8 weeks of response assignments, beginning in the second week of class. Each submission must fulfill the following rubric: 1) summarizes in depth every reading assigned that week; 2) refers to the text of the readings through quotation or close paraphrase; 3) responds to the readings with the student’s own opinion; 4) supports that opinion through argumentation, narrated experience, and/or ethical assertions; 5) demonstrates attention to grammar and proofreading. Unlike your editorial assignment (due Week 8), these papers do not have to have a finely-
**honed thesis.** They are a chance for you to explore your own thinking without necessarily having to be nailed down to one particular argument.

These response papers are your opportunity to grapple with the readings, to question, to connect one week to the next, and to raise issues that you’d like to see discussed in class. We will read each entry carefully, respond to it, and often refer to student entries (without naming particular writers) in class discussion.

**Comments**

*Each time you submit a response paper, please read and comment (one or two sentences)—in the Discussions section of Canvas—on the Response Paper of one other student.*

**Editorial Assignment (due Nov. 18th) or Role Play (due Nov. 15th)**

For week 8, you will either 1) write an editorial on a topic related to disability or genetics technologies or 2) participate with other students in a role play in front of the class on a controversial issue studied in the class.

1) Editorial Assignment: Unlike your work on the response papers, for this editorial assignment you will make and develop an argument on a particular position based on the readings for weeks 7 and 8. If you prefer to write on another controversial topic discussed in class, you may, but please discuss it with one of us first. The paper should be about 600 words and should be posted on Canvas in the same way as your response papers. You must have a clear thesis, strong writing, and good grammar. **Due Nov. 18th by 5 p.m. on Canvas.**

2) Role Play: Once we see how many students choose this option, we will form groups and discuss with each group a particular ethical dilemma, assigning a particular role (physician, nurse genetic counselor, disability rights advocate, parent, pastor, etc.) to each member. You’ll need to do some planning and rehearsing before the actual performance. I urge you to consider this option because both you and the class learn a lot from it! **Performed Nov. 15th in class.**

**Unless otherwise noted, readings are on Canvas.**

**Week 1: How do we tell our stories about health and illness? Who decides what counts as health? How are these constructions influenced by race, class, gender, power, culture, history and social context?**
Monday, Sept. 25th: Overview: How do world view, diagnosis, and stories overlap?

Wednesday, Sept. 27th:
Abenna Brewster, “A Student’s View of a Medical Teaching Exercise” (pp. 128-130); Atul Gawande, “Whose Body Is It Anyway?”

**Week 2:** Critical Perspectives on Global Health; Global Health as a field of discourse and practice will be presented and critically examined in relation to inequalities, power, post-colonialism and culture.


**Week 3:** Chronic Pain and Addiction. What stories do we tell about pain and addiction? Are there aspects of pain that can never be told? What are the consequences of no one listening to your story of pain? How does one live life despite pain? How does art express pain and addiction?

**Monday, Oct. 9th:** Lynne Greenberg, *The Body Broken*, excerpt (pp. xii-xvi and pp. 1-29)

**Wed., Oct. 11th:** Meghan O’Rourke, “What’s Wrong With Me?” (pp. 32-37) *The New Yorker*; excerpt from Quiara Alegría Hudes’s play *Water By the Spoonful*.

**Week 4:** Cultural Constructions of Mental Illness; What are the meanings of mental illness? Students will explore artistic and literary expressions of patients seeking to redefine their own identities in the face of psychiatric diagnoses, *DSM V* revisions, and cultural representations of schizophrenia.

**Monday, Oct. 16th:** Rachel Aviv, “God Knows Where I Am,” *New Yorker* (pp 57-65 magazine pages).

Personal narratives from *Schizophrenia Bulletin*: Greenblat, Boevink, Stefanidis (9 pages altogether)

**Wed., Oct. 18th:** Ellen Forney, excerpt from *Marbles* (58 pages, unnumbered)

**Week 5:** Health and Disability in Narrative and the Cultural Imagination.

How does narrative construct medical research and practice? What culturally constructed stories unfold within and help determine medical decisions and the creation of the “illness” and of the “patient”?

**Monday, Oct. 23rd:** Niklas Altermark, “The ideology of neuroscience and intellectual disability; reconstituting the ‘disordered’ brain.”
David Perry, “Down Syndrome Isn’t Just Cute”  
(http://america.aljazeera.com/opinions/2014/10/down-s-behind-themiles.html)  
**Wed., Oct. 25th:**  
Ted Talk by Sue Austin, “Deep sea diving…in a wheelchair” (in class); Nancy Mairs, chapter from *Waist-High in the World: A Life Among the Nondisabled* (pp. 87-107).

**Week 6: Multicultural Experiences of Illness and Healing;**  
What are the possibilities and limitations of using professional/biomedical diagnostic categories in different cultural settings? In this section of the course, we will consider health and healing as cultural products or systems.  
**Monday, Oct. 31st:**  
**Wed., Nov. 1st:**  
Ethan Watters, chapter on PTSD from *Crazy Like Us: The Globalization of the American Psyche* (pp. 65-124)

**Week 7: Medicalization, Bioethics, and Disability;**  
How do we decide what is “normal” and what is “healthy”? This week we will challenge cultural assumptions of “normality” and “disability.”  
**Monday, Nov. 6th:**  
Clip from *Orgasm Inc.* (in class)  
Susanna Kaysen, excerpt from *The Camera My Mother Gave Me* (40 pages).  
**Wed., Nov. 8th:**  
Deborah Kent, “Somewhere a Mockingbird,” in Parens and Asch, eds. *Prenatal Testing and Disability Rights* (pp. 57-63)  

Editorial writing assignment: 2-3-page paper on disability studies and/or genetics. Alternative: Take part in genetics Role Play in class (we will send around sign-up sheet). Due week 8.

**Week 8: Assisted Reproductive Technologies and Genetics:** What are the medical, ethical, and gendered implications of various reproductive technologies as they intersect with the personal and political meanings of creating human life?

Wed., Nov. 15th: Genetic ethics plays! Discussion of Genetics and Assisted Reproductive Technologies Continued.

**Week 9: First Do No Harm: Ethical Dilemmas and Health Care for Profit in America:** What Are the Responsibilities of Practitioners and Hospitals to Their Patients? How Do Institutional Structures Shape Clinician-Patient Interactions?

**Monday, Nov. 20th:** Seattle Times series on surgeries at Swedish Hospital (see links on Canvas for this week). Guest lecture by Naomi Kirtner and/or Dr. Jeffrey Goldenberg of non-profit organization Talia’s Voice.

**Wed., Nov. 22nd:** S. Lochlann Jain, “Cancer Butch” chapter from Malignant: How Cancer Becomes Us (501-538)
Pink Ribbons, Inc. film excerpt.

**Week 10: Speaking Back to Medicine: Alternative Stories;** How do writers and other storytellers respond to dominant versions of health and medicine? How can stories help reshape social inequalities that affect health and well-being? How do storytellers reimagine illness, health and well-being on the one hand and health-related policies and social structures on the other?

**Monday, Nov. 27th:** Helena Viramontes, chapter from Under the Feet of Jesus (pp. 93-103 and 133-156). Excerpt from Toni Cade Bambara’s novel The Salt Eaters.

**Wed., Nov. 29th:** Catch-up and Review.

Have a good winter break!

**Course Policies**

**Attendance:** Because this will be a discussion-oriented class, you have to be here to benefit. We cannot recreate the class discussion for you if you have to miss class. Absences will affect your final grade.

**Rules:** Please no texting in class. If you need to keep your hands and the wandering part of your mind busy, coloring, knitting, or other quiet non-electronics-based activities are fine (well, maybe not cooking). We will discuss a laptop/ipad policy as a class.
Academic Integrity: University of Oregon students are held to the standards of the Student Conduct Code. Acts of academic dishonesty, cheating, and plagiarism will not be tolerated and will be referred to the Office of Student Judicial Affairs. All work submitted by students must be their own, written for this course. Acts of plagiarism and academic dishonesty can result in failure of the course and expulsion from the University. For guidelines and University rules on this very serious matter, see http://libweb.uoregon.edu/guides/plagiarism/students/

Students with Disabilities: We will make every effort to accommodate students with disabilities. If you have a documented disability and anticipate needing accommodations in this course, please make arrangements to meet with me as soon as possible. Please request that the Counselor for Students with Disabilities send a letter verifying your disability. There are no exams, timed or otherwise, in the class. We will post materials discussed in class on Canvas whenever possible. Much of class discussion happens spontaneously and unpredictably, so if you need to record the class or bring a note-taker, please make arrangements to do so. You’re always welcome to go over what happened in class with me or Angela later in office hours.